

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary

Application No.

09/929,621

Applicant(s)

WORMSBECHER, RICHARD
FRANKLIN

Examiner

Ernest G. Therkorn

Art Unit

1723

All Participants:

(1) Ernest G. Therkorn.

(2) William D. Bunch.

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____

(4) _____

Date of Interview: 14 April 2004

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

None

Claims discussed:

Claim 1

Prior art documents discussed:

None

Part II.


SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.



(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The examiner initiated the interview to propose amendments to place the case in condition for allowance. It was apparent to the examiner that page 6, lines 9 and 10 of the specification indicated important aspects of the invention. The examiner proposed the changes to claim 1 to incorporate these aspects and thereby place the case in condition for allowance. William D. Bunch then authorized the changes to be made by examiner's amendment.